

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

508(c)(1)(a) Free Church • Sole Tanakh Authority

A Study for Judaism • Christianity • Islam

DOCUMENT 186

COVENANT BAPTISM

TEVILAH, MIKVEH, AND THE WATERS OF THE WRITTEN COVENANT

A Covenant Deep Dive into Ritual Immersion and Covenant Renewal

Why Does the Covenant Community Wash? — A Three-Religion Covenant Response

July 2026

Elder Kepha Arcemont, Founder

miqdashbethel.org • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 402-218-9530

PO Box 762, Pearl River, Louisiana 70452

REACHING JUDAISM, CHRISTIANITY, AND ISLAM

☆ JUDAISM	† CHRISTIANITY	✠ ISLAM
Tevilah (טְבִילָה) in a mikveh (מִקְוֶה) restores tahara (טָהוֹרָה, ritual purity) after tumah (טֹמְאָה, ritual impurity). The Torah commands washing for priests and for bodily impurity — never as removal of moral guilt, but as covenant readiness to approach Yahweh.	Baptism (from Greek baptizo, to immerse) inherits the form of Tanakh tevilah but is reinterpreted through Yeshua's death and resurrection. The water is not itself claimed to save; even Kepha (Peter) writes it is an appeal to Elohim for a clean conscience, not a removal of bodily dirt.	Wudu (partial ablution) and ghusl (full ritual washing) are required before formal prayer and after major ritual impurity. The Qur'an (5:6) commands washing as covenant readiness before approaching Allah — echoing the Tanakh's own pattern of priestly washing before service.

DOCTRINAL AUTHORITY — STANDING RULE OF MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

The sole binding authority of Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution is the *Tanakh* — the written covenant of **Yahweh**. No Talmudic tractate, Church council decree, papal encyclical, hadith collection, or extra-biblical tradition carries doctrinal weight equal to or greater than the written Torah. Where any tradition conflicts with the written covenant, the written covenant governs without exception. The Talmud, New Testament, and Qur'an are cited as historical reference and comparative witness — never as superseding authority. Miqdash Bethel uses the Written Tanakh as its sole source for four reasons: it is the only text Judaism, Christianity, and Islam all recognize as originally given by **Yahweh**; it predates

and underlies the Talmud, New Testament, and Qur'an, so testing those traditions against it follows the evidentiary direction of **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** — the earlier witness governs the later commentary; every later tradition that departs from the *Tanakh* does so by addition or reinterpretation, never by overturning the text itself, so the text remains the fixed standard; and **Yahweh's** own words declare the covenant unchanging (**Malachi 3:6; Tehillim (Psalms) 119:89**), making the written covenant — not evolving institutional interpretation — the only stable ground for doctrine. All three great covenant traditions — Judaism, Christianity, and Islam — are addressed simultaneously and equally in every Miqdash Bethel document, because **Yahweh's** covenant belongs to every nation that will receive it. The **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** two-or-three-witness evidentiary standard governs all textual claims in this document.

HOW TO READ THIS DOCUMENT

This document applies the sole authority of the written *Tanakh*. **Yahweh (יהוה)** and **Elohim (אלהים)** appear in bold throughout. Hebrew book names are given with English in parentheses. Hebrew transliterations appear in ***bold italic*** with English meaning in parentheses at every occurrence. Scripture references are bold. Three audiences are addressed simultaneously: Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. Rabbinic commentary, New Testament texts, and the Qur'an are cited as historical and comparative reference — not as binding authority. The Written Tanakh governs all conclusions.

TANAKH BOOKS CITED IN THIS DOCUMENT

DIVISION	BOOKS CITED	PURPOSE IN THIS STUDY
Torah	Bereishit (Genesis), Shemot (Exodus), Vayikra (Leviticus), Bemidbar (Numbers)	Foundation text — priestly washing, purity law, and covenant consecration
Nevi'im (Prophets)	Melachim Bet (2 Kings), Yechezkel (Ezekiel), Zechariah	Prophetic witness to covenant washing and the promised future cleansing
Ketuvim (Writings)	Tehillim (Psalms)	Poetic and penitential witness to inward cleansing beyond the physical act

I. FRAMING THE QUESTION — WHAT DOES THE COVENANT MEAN BY WASHING?

I-A. Not Removal of Sin by Water

The English word “baptism” arrives through the Greek *baptizo* (to dip, to immerse), but the practice it names begins centuries earlier in the covenant washings of the Torah. Before evaluating Christian baptism, Jewish mikveh practice, or Islamic wudu, the covenant question must be asked in its own vocabulary: what did **Yahweh** command Yisra'el (Israel) to do with water, and why? Tanakh's answer is precise. Washing in the covenant framework is never described as a mechanism that erases moral guilt by itself. It is described as a transition — a marker moving a person from *tamei* (טָמֵא, ritually impure) to *tahor* (טָהוֹר, ritually pure), so that the person may approach **Yahweh's** presence without endangering their life.

I-B. The Hebrew Vocabulary: Taval (טָבַל), Tevilah (טְבִילָה), and Mikveh (מִקְוֵה)

The verb *taval* (טָבַל, Strong's H2881) means ‘to dip’ or ‘to immerse’ and appears at the pivotal moment of Na'aman's healing (2 Melachim [2 Kings] 5:14, examined below). The noun form *tevilah* (טְבִילָה) — immersion — is the rabbinic term for the practice; *mikveh* (מִקְוֵה, Strong's H4723) names the gathered body of water itself. The word *mikveh* first appears in **Bereishit (Genesis) 1:10**, where **Yahweh** calls the gathering together of the waters ‘Seas’ — the same root that later names the ritual bath. A *mikveh*, by the Tanakh's own internal logic, must be a gathering of water, not a manufactured container alone — living water, sourced from rain, spring, or river.

II. THE COVENANT FRAMEWORK — PRIESTLY WASHING AS CONSECRATION

II-A. Aharon and His Sons — Shemot (Exodus) 29:4 and 40:12

Before **Aharon (Aaron)** and his sons could serve as *kohanim* (כֹּהֲנִים, priests), **Yahweh** commanded **Moshe (Moses)**: bring them to the entrance of the Tent of Meeting and wash them with water (Shemot [Exodus] 29:4). This washing preceded ordination — it did not replace it. The water prepared the priests for consecration; it did not itself consecrate them. The anointing oil, the blood of the ram of ordination, and the garments that followed carried the weight of the covenant transaction. Washing was the threshold, not the destination.

II-B. The Bronze Laver — Shemot (Exodus) 30:17–21

Yahweh commanded a bronze laver (*kiyor nechoshet*, כִּיּוֹר נְחוֹשֶׁת) be placed between the Tent of Meeting and the altar, filled with water, so that **Aharon** and his sons could wash their hands and feet before entering the tent or approaching the altar to burn an offering. The stated consequence for neglect is severe — the text warns:

“so that they will not die” (Shemot [Exodus] 30:20–21).

This is covenant safety language, not symbolic ritual for its own sake. Washing here functions as the mechanism by which a person carrying the ordinary state of the camp — *tamei* by contact with the world outside — may cross into the space where **Yahweh's** presence dwells, without being consumed.

In the Tanakh's own framework, the danger isn't hygienic — it's the collision between an unaddressed *tamei* (impure) or unconsecrated state and the *consuming holiness* of **Yahweh's** presence. A few witnesses make the pattern clear:

- **Vayikra (Leviticus) 10:1–2** — Nadav and Avihu offer “strange fire” and are consumed immediately. Approaching **Yahweh's** presence carelessly is lethal, not metaphorically but literally, in the text's own logic.
- **Bemidbar (Numbers) 4:15, 20** — even the Levites carrying the holy vessels are warned not to touch or look at them directly, “lest they die.”
- **Shemuel Bet (2 Samuel) 6:6–7** — Uzzah touches the Ark to steady it and dies on the spot.

The washing at the bronze laver isn't ritual etiquette — it's the prescribed *mechanism of safe transition*. A priest carries the ordinary, outside-the-camp state onto himself just by existing in the world. Stepping unwashed from that state directly into the space where **Yahweh** dwells is treated the same way the text treats any unmediated contact with concentrated holiness: something that destroys what isn't prepared for it. The water doesn't earn access — it's the condition Yahweh Himself set as the doorway priests must pass through to survive the encounter.

II-C. Tumah (טומאה) and Tahara (טהרה): The Purity Framework of Vayikra

Vayikra (Leviticus) establishes an entire system distinguishing *tamei* (impure) from *tahor* (pure) — states that govern who may approach the *Mishkan* (מִשְׁכָּן, Tabernacle) and participate in covenant worship. **Tumah (uncleanness) is not sin.** Contact with death, certain bodily discharges, skin disease — none of these are moral failures, yet all require washing and the passage of time before *tahara* is restored (Vayikra [Leviticus] 15). The Tanakh keeps these categories distinct from moral guilt throughout: a woman recovering from childbirth (Vayikra [Leviticus] 12) or a man with a bodily discharge (Vayikra [Leviticus] 15) is *tamei*, not wicked. Washing the body addresses the former state — it never addresses the latter.

III. NAAMAN AND THE JORDAN — COVENANT WASHING FOR THE OUTSIDER

III-A. Elisha's Instruction: Seven Immersions

2 Melachim (2 Kings) 5 records Na'aman, commander of the Aramean army and a *metzora* (מְצֹרָע, one afflicted with *tzara'at*, a skin affliction), coming to the prophet Elisha for healing. Elisha's instruction, delivered through a messenger rather than in person, is simple: go and dip (*taval*) seven times in the Yarden (Jordan). Na'aman initially refuses in anger — the rivers of Damascus, he protests, are better than the waters of Yisra'el. His servants persuade him to obey the simple instruction. He goes down and dips himself seven times, according to the word of the man of **Elohim** (2 Melachim [2 Kings] 5:14).

III-B. The Result: Covenant Confession, Not Mere Healing

Na'aman's flesh was restored — but the text does not stop at physical healing. Na'aman's own confession follows immediately:

“Now I know that there is no Elohim in all the earth except in Yisra'el” (2 Melachim [2 Kings] 5:15).

The washing produces a covenant confession from an outsider. This is the Tanakh's clearest single narrative of water functioning as the outward act accompanying an inward turn toward **Yahweh** — not the mechanism of that turn, but its visible marker. Na'aman's obedience to a simple, almost undignified instruction — not the water's chemistry — is what the text highlights.

IV. FIVE COVENANT WITNESSES TO WATER AND COVENANT RENEWAL

Per the **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** standard, no doctrine may stand on a single text. The following five witnesses corroborate the covenant framework of washing, purity, and promised renewal.

Witness One — Bemidbar (Numbers) 19: Mei Niddah, the Waters of Separation

Bemidbar (Numbers) 19 establishes the *mei niddah* (מֵי נִדָּה, waters of impurity/separation) — water mixed with the ashes of a *para adumah* (פָּרָה אֲדוּמָה, red heifer) — for purifying a person who has contacted a corpse. This is the Tanakh's most elaborate purification ritual, and it addresses contact with death specifically — the most severe source of *tumah* in the entire system, because death is the ultimate covenant rupture (Bereishit [Genesis] 2:17; 3:19).

Witness Two — Vayikra (Leviticus) 15: Washing for Bodily States, Never for Moral Guilt

Vayikra (Leviticus) 15 catalogs washings required for various bodily discharges, concluding each case with a consistent formula: the person is *tamei* until evening, then washes and becomes *tahor*. The chapter never once attributes these states to sin. The Tanakh takes care to prevent the very error condemned in Iyov's (Job's) friends — conflating an impure or afflicted state with moral failure.

Witness Three — Tehillim (Psalms) 51:9: “Purge Me With Hyssop”

David's penitential psalm, composed after his sin with Bat-Sheva (Bathsheba), reaches for the language of ritual washing to describe moral and spiritual restoration:

“Purge me with hyssop, and I shall be clean” (Tehillim [Psalms] 51:9)

The Psalmist is describing *ezov* (עֶזוֹב, hyssop), the plant used in Tanakh purification rites. This is the pivotal text bridging ritual washing and moral repentance — David borrows the vocabulary of physical purification to plead for an inward cleansing only **Yahweh** can grant. The washing here is metaphor, not mechanism — David is not standing in a *mikveh*; he is confessing that only **Yahweh**'s action can produce the reality the water elsewhere symbolizes.

Witness Four — Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 36:25: “I Will Sprinkle Clean Water Upon You”

In **Yahweh**'s promise of covenant restoration to a scattered Yisra'el, the prophet Yechezkel (Ezekiel) records the promise of *mayim tehorim* (מַיִם טְהוֹרִים, clean waters) sprinkled upon them, so that they shall be *tahor* (Yechezkel [Ezekiel] 36:25). The verse continues immediately into the promise of a new heart and a new *ruach* (רוּחַ, spirit) — the water and the inward transformation are named together, but the text is explicit that **Yahweh** Himself performs the cleansing; the water is His chosen sign of it, not an independent cause.

Witness Five — Zechariah 13:1: “A Fountain Opened for Sin and for Impurity”

Zechariah's eschatological promise declares a future day when a *makor* (מְקוֹר, fountain) will be opened for the house of David and the inhabitants of Yerushalayim, for *chattat* (חַטָּאת, sin) and for *niddah* (נִדָּה, impurity) (Zechariah 13:1). Sin and ritual impurity are named side by side, addressed by the same future cleansing — a covenant promise, not yet a description of any single historical washing.

V. THE MIKVEH TRADITION — LATER JEWISH PRACTICE (HISTORICAL REFERENCE, NOT BINDING AUTHORITY)

Rabbinic Judaism formalized the *mikveh* into a structured ritual bath used for recovery from *niddah* (menstrual impurity), for conversion (*tevilat gerim*, טְבִילַת גֵּרִים, immersion of converts — a practice

not commanded in the written Torah but developed in Second Temple and rabbinic sources), and for various other transitions. This document cites this history for context only. Per Miqdash Bethel's standing rule, no rabbinic tradition carries binding authority equal to the written Tanakh. Where *mikveh* practice extends beyond what the written Torah commands, it is historical development — not covenant law.

VI. THREE-RELIGION AUDIT — HOW EACH TRADITION HANDLES COVENANT WASHING

ELEMENT	JUDAISM	CHRISTIANITY	ISLAM
Primary Question	How does a person move from tumah (impurity) to tahara (purity) in order to approach Yahweh?	What does baptism accomplish — is it the moment of salvation, or its sign?	What washing must precede valid prayer and approach to Allah?
Covenant Strength	Keeps tumah and moral guilt as distinct categories throughout Vayikra and Bemidbar — ritual impurity is never confused with sin.	Preserves the Tanakh pattern of washing as covenant marker; Sha'ul (Paul) ties baptism to identification with Yeshua's death, echoing tevilah's transitional function.	Wudu and ghusl (Qur'an 5:6) preserve the principle that washing precedes approach to the Divine in formal worship — a direct echo of the bronze laver.
Covenant Departure	Where later teaching treats the mikveh itself as automatically effective apart from teshuvah (repentance), it drifts from Tehillim 51 and Yechezkel 36, which locate true cleansing in Yahweh's action.	Where baptismal regeneration doctrine teaches the water itself confers salvation apart from faith, it collapses the Tanakh's distinction between the sign and the reality it signifies.	Where ritual washing becomes mechanical procedure detached from niyyah (intention) toward Allah, it risks the same drift the Tanakh warns against — form without the reality it signifies.
Covenant Witness	Na'aman's confession following his sevenfold dipping models the pattern — outward washing marking an inward turn toward Yahweh.	Yochanan's (John's) baptism called for teshuvah first — repentance is named before the washing, not replaced by it.	The requirement of niyyah before ritual washing preserves the same principle: the water accompanies an inward orientation; it does not substitute for it.

VII. THE FALSE TEACHING PROBLEM — WATER AS MAGIC VERSUS WATER AS COVENANT SIGN

A recurring danger across all three covenant communities is treating water itself as possessing independent spiritual power — as though the physical act, performed correctly, guarantees a spiritual outcome regardless of the heart of the person washed. The Tanakh's own witnesses forbid this conclusion at every turn. **Aharon's** washing at the Tent of Meeting (Shemot [Exodus] 29:4) prepared him for ordination; it did not replace the anointing, the blood, or **Yahweh's** own choosing of him. David's plea in Tehillim (Psalms) 51:9 borrows washing language precisely because he cannot wash himself clean — only **Yahweh** can. Yechezkel's (Ezekiel's) promise of sprinkled water (36:25) is inseparable from **Yahweh's** promise of a new heart in the very next breath. In every covenant witness, water is the outward sign that accompanies an inward reality **Yahweh** alone produces. Any doctrine — in any of the three traditions — that detaches the sign from the One who grants the reality it signifies has moved outside what the written covenant teaches.

VIII. SEVEN COVENANT DECLARATIONS ON WASHING AND COVENANT RENEWAL

#	COVENANT DECLARATION	BASIS
I	Washing in the covenant framework moves a person from tamei (impure) to tahor (pure). It is never described as erasing moral guilt by itself.	Vayikra (Leviticus) 15
II	Priestly washing prepared Aharon and his sons for consecration. It did not replace the anointing, the blood, and the calling that followed.	Shemot (Exodus) 29:4; 30:17–21
III	Na'aman's obedience in washing seven times in the Jordan River produced a covenant confession — the outward act marking an inward turn toward Yahweh.	2 Melachim (2 Kings) 5:14–15
IV	The mei niddah addressed the most severe form of tumah — contact with death, the ultimate covenant rupture.	Bemidbar (Numbers) 19
V	David's plea for cleansing borrows the vocabulary of ritual washing to describe a moral and spiritual restoration only Yahweh can grant.	Tehillim (Psalms) 51:9

#	COVENANT DECLARATION	BASIS
VI	Yahweh's promise of sprinkled clean water is inseparable from His promise of a new heart and a new spirit.	Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 36:25–26
VII	The covenant promise of a fountain opened for sin and impurity addresses both categories together, without confusing them.	Zechariah 13:1

IX. THE COVENANT RESPONSE — WHAT DOES THE TANAKH SAY TO THE ONE WHO SEEKS CLEANSING?

The question of baptism, as the three traditions often frame it, asks: ‘What must I do, and does the water itself accomplish it?’ The Tanakh’s covenant witnesses answer in four parts.

First: Recognize that water is a sign, not a substitute, for Yahweh’s own cleansing work.

Every covenant witness examined in this document — from the bronze laver to Zechariah’s fountain — locates the true cleansing in **Yahweh’s** action. The water marks the transition; it does not perform it independently of **Yahweh**.

Second: Distinguish *tumah* from sin, and never confuse the two in yourself or in others.

Vayikra (Leviticus) is explicit: bodily states requiring washing are not moral failures. The same discernment Iyov’s (Job’s) friends lacked concerning Iyov’s suffering must not be applied here either — an impure or afflicted state is not evidence of covenant unfaithfulness.

Leviticus makes something really clear: needing to wash because of a physical condition was never treated as Yahweh being upset with you. Think about Iyov’s (Job’s) friends — they looked at his suffering and assumed he must have done something wrong to deserve it. They were wrong. The Tanakh doesn’t want us making that same mistake here. If someone’s dealing with a physical condition, an illness, or something that makes them “unclean” in this ritual sense, that’s not Yahweh punishing them or a sign they’ve fallen out of faith. It’s just a different category of thing entirely — and the text keeps those two categories separate on purpose. The Tanakh operates with two separate systems that happen to use similar-sounding language, and it’s easy to blur them together:

System 1: Moral/covenant status — Are you being faithful to Yahweh or not? This is about your choices, your heart, your obedience. Sin lives here.

System 2: Ritual status — Are you *tamei* (impure) or *tahor* (pure) at this moment? This is a temporary, physical/ceremonial condition that determines whether you can approach the Tabernacle and participate in certain rites right now.

Here's what makes System 2 clearly not about morality: the things that make someone *tamei* in Leviticus include touching a dead body, childbirth, certain skin conditions, and normal bodily discharges. None of those involve a choice. You don't sin by giving birth. You don't sin by touching your father's corpse to bury him — in fact you're honoring him. A woman doesn't sin by menstruating. These are just facts of being a physical human being living in a physical world.

And crucially: the fix for *tamei* status is procedural, not penitential. You wash, you wait until evening, sometimes you offer a specific sacrifice — and you're *tahor* again. There's no confession involved, no "I'm sorry," because there was nothing to be sorry for. Compare that to how the text handles actual sin (like David's affair with Bathsheba in Psalm 51) — there, the language is all repentance, mercy, being forgiven. Totally different vocabulary, different process, different category.

So when Iyov (Job) is suffering and his friends assume "this must mean he sinned," they're taking something that doesn't fit either category cleanly — suffering — and forcing it into the moral category, assuming visible hardship equals hidden guilt. The text sides against them for that. The point for your document: an ailment, an impurity, a hard physical circumstance is not Yahweh's way of telling you that you've failed Him. It's just... a condition. It gets addressed with washing, time, or care — not shame.

Third: Bring teshuvah (repentance), not merely water.

Yochanan's (John's) call for repentance preceded his washing; Na'aman's confession followed his washing. In both patterns, the inward turn toward **Yahweh** is what the water was always meant to mark.

The core idea: Water by itself is just water. What makes it meaningful — in either story — is the person's actual turn toward Yahweh, either happening right before the washing or showing up right after it. The order changes, but the requirement doesn't: something real has to be happening on the inside, or the water is just someone getting wet.

Yochanan's (John's) pattern — repentance comes first:

When John was baptizing people at the Jordan, he wasn't offering a magic fix. He was calling people to repent — to actually turn away from wrongdoing — and the washing that followed was the visible

marker of a decision they'd already made in their hearts. If someone showed up wanting the ritual without meaning the repentance, that's exactly the kind of empty religious performance the prophets spent centuries warning against (think of Isaiah or Amos condemning people who go through the motions of worship while their actual lives are unchanged). The water didn't create the change — it announced a change that had already happened.

Na'aman's pattern — confession comes after:

Na'aman didn't believe anything when he waded into the Jordan. He was angry, skeptical, and only obeyed because his servants talked him into it. He goes in doubting and comes out convinced: "Now I know there is no *Elohim* in all the earth except in Yisra'el." Here the washing came first, but notice — the text doesn't stop at "his skin healed." It keeps going until Na'aman says something. The physical result isn't the point of the story; his changed conviction is. The water became the moment where his heart caught up to what had just happened to his body.

Why both patterns point to the same conclusion:

Whether the inward turn happens before the water (John) or after it (Na'aman), the water is never doing the work on its own in either account. It's marking something — like a signature marks that you agreed to something, but the signature isn't the agreement itself. If you skip the actual turning — the honesty, the change of direction, the recognition of who Yahweh is — and just get wet, you've performed the sign without the substance. That's the danger this section is guarding against: turning a meaningful marker into an empty ritual, going through the motions without anything underneath it.

Fourth: Trust that only Yahweh grants the new heart the water signifies.

Yechezkel's (Ezekiel's) promise binds the sprinkled water to a new heart and new spirit granted by **Yahweh** alone. The covenant community's washing rites — *mikveh*, baptism, *wudu* (washing) and *ghusl* (ritual purification) alike — all point past themselves to the One who alone can make a person truly *tabor*.

People often ask a simple question about baptism: "*What am I supposed to do — and does the water itself do the work?*" Tanakh answers that in four simple points.

First: The water is a sign, not the thing itself.

Every example we looked at — from the priests washing at the bronze basin to the promised fountain in Zechariah — shows the same pattern. **Yahweh** is the one who actually does the cleansing. The water marks that moment; it doesn't cause it on its own.

Second: Being "unclean" and being "sinful" are not the same thing.

Leviticus is clear about this. Needing to wash because of a bodily condition was never treated as a moral failure. Just like Job's friends were wrong to assume his suffering meant he'd done something wrong, we shouldn't assume someone's impure or afflicted state — physical or otherwise — means they're guilty of something.

Third: A change of heart matters more than the water alone.

John called people to repent before he baptized them. Naaman confessed his faith after he washed. Either way, the point is the same — the water was always meant to go along with a real turning toward **Yahweh**, not replace it.

Fourth: Only Yahweh can give you the new heart the water points to.

Ezekiel's promise ties the sprinkled water directly to **Yahweh** giving people a new heart and a new spirit — something only He can do. Whether it's called *mikveh*, baptism, or ritual washing, every one of these practices is pointing to the same truth: no ritual makes a person truly clean. Only **Yahweh** does that.

WHY WATER?

Tanakh never gives an explicit statement like "I chose water because..." It's simply commanded, used, and described.

What's explicit: Nowhere does **Yahweh** say "this is why water." The commands are given (Shemot 30:17–21, Vayikra 15, Bemidbar 19) without a stated rationale for the choice of water itself — only the *result* is stated ("so that they will not die," "he shall be tahor").

What can be reasonably inferred from the pattern of the text, without overclaiming it as doctrine:

- **Water precedes everything else Yahweh makes.** In Bereishit 1:2, before there's light, before there's land, "the Spirit of **Elohim** hovered over the face of the waters." Water is present at the very start — it's not a manufactured substance, it's part of the raw material of creation itself.
- **Water is the one thing no person can fake, own, or manufacture their way into or out of.** A priest can't bribe his way past the bronze laver. A poor man and a rich man dip in the same river. It's the great equalizer — nobody can substitute status, wealth, or cleverness for it.
- **Water already carries a double meaning in the Tanakh before any purity law shows up.** In the flood (Bereishit 6–9), water is both judgment and the means of a fresh start — the earth

is washed and given back clean. So by the time you get to Vayikra's washings, water already means "the old thing ends here, and something new begins" in **Yahweh's** own storytelling.

- **Water has no properties of its own that do the work.** It's not medicine. It's not magic. It takes the shape of whatever it's poured into and leaves no residue of its own. That's arguably *why* it works so well as a sign — it visibly can't take credit for the cleansing. Nobody looking at plain water can convince themselves the water itself is powerful. That forces the honest conclusion the document already makes: the water points past itself to **Yahweh**.

Yahweh doesn't explain His choice of water — He simply uses it consistently, in a way that keeps pointing back to Himself as the one actually doing the cleansing, not the water. That's an inference from the pattern, not a stated command, and the Tanakh doesn't read it as if it explicitly answers the question.

SCRIPTURE INDEX

REFERENCE	SUBJECT	SECTION
Bereishit (Genesis) 1:10	Mikveh — the gathering together of waters, root of the ritual-bath term	I-B
Bereishit (Genesis) 2:17; 3:19	Death as the ultimate covenant rupture, background to Bemidbar 19	IV / W1
Shemot (Exodus) 29:4	Aharon and his sons washed before ordination	II-A
Shemot (Exodus) 30:17–21	The bronze laver — washing before service, “so that they will not die”	II-B
Shemot (Exodus) 40:12	Washing at the entrance of the Tent of Meeting	II-A
Vayikra (Leviticus) 12	Purification after childbirth — tumah, not moral failure	II-C
Vayikra (Leviticus) 15	Washings for bodily discharge — never attributed to sin	II-C / IV-W2
Bemidbar (Numbers) 19	Mei niddah — waters of the red heifer, purification from death	IV / W1
2 Melachim (2 Kings) 5:14	Na'aman dips seven times in the Jordan, according to Elisha's word	III-A
2 Melachim (2 Kings) 5:15	Na'aman's confession — “Now I know”	III-B
Tehillim (Psalms) 51:9	“Purge me with hyssop, and I shall be clean”	IV / W3

REFERENCE	SUBJECT	SECTION
Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 36:25–26	Sprinkled clean water bound to a new heart and new spirit	IV / W4
Zechariah 13:1	A fountain opened for sin and for impurity	IV / W5

“Then the servants of Na’aman came near and said... ‘Wash, and be clean.’ So he went down and dipped himself seven times in the Jordan, according to the word of the man of Elobim.”

2 Melachim (2 Kings) 5:13–14 — The Written Covenant of Yahweh

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

Document 186 • Covenant Baptism: Tevilah, Mikveh, and the Waters of the Written Covenant • July 2026
miqdashbethel.org • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 402-218-9530 • PO Box 762, Pearl River, Louisiana 70452
508(c)(1)(a) Free Church • Sole Tanakh Authority • Reaching Judaism, Christianity, and Islam